

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Bex 1456 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 01/25/2001 Donald Grindstaff 707.001US1 5215 09/770,031 7590 09/15/2003 Mark A. Litman & Associates, P.A. EXAMINER York Business Center, Suite 205 WONG, LESLIE A 3209 West 76th St. Edina, MN 55435 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1761

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* *	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/770,031	GRINDSTAFF ET AL.		
•	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leslie Wong	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED August 11, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>August 11, 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10. Other:		Listic World		
S. Datest and Trademark Office		Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761		

-	Continuation	Sheet	(PTOL-303)
	09/730,021		

Application No.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the reasons of record.